# Why Is Something Funny, And Why Should We Care?

No joke: Science continues to pursue a range of theories about what makes us laugh.

#### BY ALEX BORGELLA

Think of the most hilarious video you've ever seen on the internet. Why is it so funny?

As a researcher who investigates some of the potential side effects of humor, I spend a fair bit of time verifying the funniness of the jokes, photos, and videos we present to participants in our studies. Quantifying the perception of humor is paramount in ensuring our findings are valid and reliable. We often rely on pretesting—that is, trying out jokes and other potential stimuli on different samples of people—to give us a sense of whether they might work in our studies.

To make predictions on how our funny materials will be perceived by study subjects, we also turn to a growing body of humor theories that speculate on why and when certain situations are considered funny. From ancient Greece to today, many thinkers from around the world have yearned to understand what makes us laugh. Whether their reasons for studying humor were strategic (like some of Plato's thoughts on using humor to manipulate people's political views) or simply inquisitive, their insights have been crucial to the development of humor research today. Take the following video as an example of a funny stimulus one might use in humor research:

Man vs Moose Sweden (the original)

To summarize: A man and his female companion are enjoying a pleasant day observing a moose in one of Sweden's forests. The woman makes a sudden movement, causing the moose to charge the couple. The man stands his ground, causing the moose to stop in his tracks. After the man makes a few feints with a large stick and emits several caveman-like grunts, the moose retreats, and the man proclaims his victory (with more grunting).

The clip has been viewed on YouTube almost 3 million times, and the comments make it clear that many folks who watch it are LOLing. But why is this funny?

SUPERIORITY THEORY: DUMB MOOSE

It is the oldest of all humor theories: Philosophers such as Aristotle and Plato alluded to the idea behind the superiority theory thousands of years ago. It suggests that all humor is derived from the misfortunes of others—and therefore, our own relative superiority. Thomas Hobbes also mentioned this theory in his book *Leviathan*, suggesting that humor results in any situation where there's a sudden realization of how much better we are than our direct competition.

react-empty: 664

Taking this theory into consideration, it seems like the retreating moose is the butt of the joke in this scenario. Charles Gruner, the late expert on superiority theory, suggests that all humor is derived from competition. In this case, the moose lost that competition.

### RELIEF THEORY: NOBODY DIED

The relief theory of humor stems from Sigmund Freud's assertion that laughter lets us relieve tension and release "psychic energy." In other words, Freud and other relief theorists believe that some build-up of tension is inherent to all humorous scenarios, and the perception of humor is directly related to the release of that tension.

Freud used this idea to explain our fascination with taboo topics and why we might find it funny to acknowledge them. For example, my own line of research deals with humor in interracial interactions and how it can be used to facilitate these commonly tense situations. Many comedians have tackled this topic as well, focusing on how language is used in interracial settings and using it as an example of how relief can be funny.

Aamer Rahman (Fear of a Brown Planet) - Reverse Racism

A comedy clip from **Aamer Rahman** that focused on interracial interactions gets some of its humor from the relief when a tense situation is resolved.

Interestingly, this theory has served as the rationale behind many studies documenting the psychological and physiological benefits of laughter. In both cases, the relief of tension (physiological tension, in the case of laughing) can lead to positive health outcomes overall, including decreased stress, anxiety, and even physical pain.

In the case of our moose video: Once the moose charges, the tension builds as the man and the animal face off for an extended period. The tension is released when the moose gives up his ground, lowers his ears, and eventually retreats. The video would probably be far less humorous if the tension had been resolved with violence—for instance, the moose trampling the man, or alternatively ending up with a stick in its eye.

## INCONGRUITY THEORY: IT'S UNEXPECTED

The incongruity theory of humor suggests that we find fundamentally incompatible concepts or unexpected resolutions funny. Basically, we find humor in the incongruity between our expectations and reality. react-empty: 665

Resolving incongruity can contribute to the perception of humor as well. This concept is known as as the "incongruity resolution" theory, and primarily refers to written jokes. When identifying what makes a humorous situation funny, this theory can be applied broadly; It can account for the laughs found in many different juxtaposed concepts.

Take the following one-liners as examples:

"I have an EpiPen. My friend gave it to me as he was dying. It seemed very important to him that I have it." and

"Remains to be seen if glass coffins become popular." The humor in both of these examples relies on incongruous interpretations: In the first, a person has clearly misinterpreted his friend's dying wish. In the second, the phrase "remains to be seen" is a play on words that takes on two very different meanings, depending on how you read the joke.

In the case of our moose video, the incongruity results from the false expectation that the interaction between man and moose would result in some sort of violence. When we see our expectations foiled, it results in the perception of humor.

## BENIGN VIOLATIONS THEORY: IT'S BAD, BUT HARMLESS

Incongruity is also a fundamental part of the benign violations theory of humor (BVT), one of the most recently developed explanations. Derived from the linguist Thomas Veatch's "violation theory," which describes various ways for incongruity to be funny, BVT attempts to create one global theory to unify all previous theories of humor and account for issues with each.

react-empty: 666

Broadly, benign violations theory asserts that all humor derives from three necessary conditions:

- 2The presence of some sort of norm violation, be it a moral norm violation (robbing a retirement home), social norm violation (breaking up with a long-term boyfriend via text message), or physical norm violation (purposefully sneezing directly on a child).
- 3A "benign" or "safe" context in which the violation takes place (this can take many forms).
- 4The interpretation of the first two points simultaneously. In other words, one must view, read, or otherwise interpret a violation as relatively harmless.

Thus far, researchers studying BVT have demonstrated a few different scenarios in which the perception of a benign violation could take place—for example, when there is weak commitment to the norm being violated.

Take the example of a church raffling off a Hummer SUV. They found this scenario is much less funny to churchgoers (with their strong commitment to the norm that the church is sacred and embodies values of humility and stewardship) than it is to non-churchgoers (with relatively weak norm commitment about the church). While both groups found the concept of the church's choice of fundraiser disgusting, only the non-churchgoers simultaneously appraised

the situation as also amusing. Hence, a benign violation is born.

In the case of our moose video, the violation is clear: There's a moose about to charge two people, and we're not sure what exactly is about to go down. The benign part of the situation could be credited to a number of different sources, but it's likely due to the fact that we're psychologically (and physically and temporally) distant from the individuals in the video. They're in Sweden, and we're comfortably watching their dilemma on a screen.



[PHOTO: FLICKR USER HEINRICH-BÖLL-STIFTUNG, JÖRG FARYS]

### HOMING IN ON FUNNY

At one point or another, we've all wondered why some phrase or occurrence has caused us to erupt with laughter. In many ways, this type of inquiry is what drove me to research the limits and consequences of humor in the first place. People are unique and often find different things amusing. In order to examine the effects of humor, it is our job as researchers to try to select and craft the stimuli we present to affect the widest range of people. The outcomes of good science stem from both the validity and reliability of our stimuli, which is why it's important to think critically about the reasons why we're laughing. The application of this still-growing body of humor research and theory is seen everywhere, influencing everything from political speeches to advertising campaigns. And while "laughter is the best medicine" may be an overstatement (penicillin is probably better, for one), psychologists and medical professionals have started to lend credence to the idea that humor and laughter might have some positive effects for health and happiness. These applications underscore the importance of developing the best understanding of

humor that we can.